MUKHTAŞAR TAWĀRĪKH AL-WUSTĀ
A short chronicle of the Riau region

A facsimile edition of manuscript Cod. Or. 1999
in the Library of Leiden University
MUKHTAŞAR TAWARİKH AL-WUSTA
A short chronicle of the Riau region

A facsimile edition of manuscript Cod. Or. 1999 in the Library of Leiden University
MANUSCRIPTA INDONESICA

VOLUME 2

MUKHTAŞAR TAWARİKH AL-WUSTA
A short chronicle of the Riau region

Series editors

Roger Tol
Chief Librarian
Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology, Leiden

Jan Just Witkam
Interpres Legati Warnerianum
The Library of Leiden University

Wim Stokhof
Professor of Austronesian Linguistics
Leiden University

Manuscripta Indonesica makes available important manuscripts from the Indonesian cultural heritage. Original manuscripts are reproduced without any editorial change, thus maintaining all their characteristics of form, spelling and other peculiarities. Each publication is preceded by a descriptive introduction which provides data on the history of the manuscript, codicological information, and bibliographical references. Manuscripta Indonesica provides students of philology with the basic tools for research.

Published by
Indonesian Linguistics Development Project (ILDEP)
in co-operation with
Legatum Warnerianum in the Library of Leiden University
LEIDEN 1993
MANUSCRIPTA INDONESICA

VOLUME 2

MUKHTAŞAR TAWĀRĪKH AL-WUSTĀ
A short chronicle of the Riau region

A facsimile edition of manuscript Cod. Or. 1999
in the Library of Leiden University

With an introduction by
Roger Toll & Jan Just Witkam

Published by
Indonesian Linguistics Development Project (ILDEP)
in co-operation with
Legatum Warnerianum in the Library of Leiden University
LEIDEN 1993
Mukhtasar

Mukhtasar Tawârîkh Al-Wustâ; A short chronicle of the Riau region / with an introd. by Roger Tol & Jan Just Witkam.
- Leiden : Indonesian Linguistics Development Project (ILDEF) ;
- Ill. - (Manuscripta Indonesica, ISSN 0929-6484 ; vol. 2)
Uitg. in samenwerking met: The Library of Leiden University.
A facs. ed. of manuscript Cod. Or. 1999 in The Library of Leiden University.
ISBN 90-73006-03-1
Trefw.: Indonesische letterkunde

© Copyright 1993, of the reproductions, by
Legatum Warnerianum in the Library of Leiden University,
P.O. Box 9501, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.
© Copyright 1993, of the introduction, by R.G. Tol &

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without
the prior written permission of the owner(s) of the copyright.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS
INTRODUCTION

In the 19th century probably the most influential centre of Malay literary activity was found on the tiny island of Penyengat off Tanjung Pinang in the Riau Archipelago. This activity was mainly in the hands of an extended family of Bugis origin among whom Raja Ahmad and, in particular, his son Raja Ali Haji were the most prolific writers. The texts they composed dealt mostly with historical matters concerning the Riau and Johor area, the relationship between the Bugis and the Malays in that region, and, in a wider scope, with Islamic matters. The texts they produced were widely read, copied by hand, and in a number of cases also printed on a local printing press. Extensive information on these aspects may be found in the works of Matheson (1971, 1985, 1989), Andaya (1975), Watson Andaya & Matheson (1979) and Matheson & Watson Andaya (1982).

The historical works from Riau, Johor and Lingga are many in number. They may be characterized as a complex of closely interrelated texts, each consisting of alternating combinations of more or less the same fragments. These texts are known under various titles such as Silsilah Melayu dan Bugis dan Sekalian Raja-rajanja, Tuhfat al-Nafis, Aturan Setia Bugis dengan Melayu, Sejarah Riau Lingga dan Daerah Takluknya, Tawârîkh al-Wusţâ, Hikayat Negeri Johor, Hikayat Opu Daeng Menambun, Hikayat Raja-raja Riau, Sejarah Raja-raja Riau, Silsilah Raja Bugis, Hikayat Negeri Riau, and Sejarah Johor.

Right from the moment of their creation these works attracted the attention of Dutch scholars who happened to be in the Riau region. They recognized the importance of these writings, which they used on several occasions in their publications. Prominent among them was Eliza Netscher, who held the post of Resident of Riau from 1861 to 1870. In his contributions of 1854, 1855 and 1870 on Riau affairs, he made extensive use of these indigenous texts, which at that time were almost exclusively available in manuscript – or were even in the process of being written. Even earlier, in 1847, fragments of a text designated as Hikayat Aturan Setia Orang Melayu dengan Orang Bugis had already appeared in print (Meursinge 1847:62–91; cf, Meursinge 1880:30–58). They probably pertained to the first printed versions of historical texts from the Riau region.

In the 20th century the importance of these texts was also fully acknowledged; the great number of text editions bear witness to the interest they aroused among scholars of Malay literature and history. Texts of the Tuhfat al-Nafis have been published five times (Winstedt 1932; Munir bin Ali 1965; Matheson 1982; Matheson Hooker 1991; Tuhfat al-Nafis 1991), apart from a very carefully annotated translation into English (Matheson & Watson Andaya 1982). Texts of the Silsilah Melayu dan Bugis were published in 1911 (Silsilah), 1956 (Kitab silsilah), 1973 (Arena Wati) and 1984 (Mohd. Yusof Md. Nor), while an abridged translation of the 1911 edition was published by Hans Overbeck as early as 1926. Ismail Hussein's 1962 edition of the Hikayat Negeri Johor was finally made available in 1979, while a Hikayat Upa Daeng Menambun was published in 1980 (Rogayah A. Hamid).

The text presented in this volume also belongs to this complex of texts in which the relations between the Malays and the Bugis are highlighted. From the beginning of the 18th century the Bugis had played a very important role in political affairs of the region. From 1722 onwards they held the position of Yang Dipertuan Muda (vice-roy; also called Raja Muda or Yamtuan Muda), and were in effect the

In the first line of the text it is already stated that we are dealing with an important, authoritative document: ‘This is relied on nowadays by the ruling elite.’ The significance of the manuscript is emphasized by the fact that it carries the seal of Vice-Roy Raja Ali (ruled 1845–1857), which is stamped at the end of the text (see below). The text presents itself as an abridged (yang dimukhtasarkan) version of a Malay history known as Tawārikh Al-Wustā, The Middle Chronicle. As far as we know, no text with this title has yet been found in any public collection. On p.9 of Or.1999 it is stated that this Tawārikh Al-Wustā ‘is presently in the hands of the vice–roy of Riau’.2 As Roolvink (1980:228) remarked in his discussion of a text very similar to Or.1999,3 the existence of a ‘Middle Chronicle’ assumes the existence of two other chronicles as well. Indeed, both in Or.1999 (on p.15) and in Or.6345 (on p.133) there is a reference to a Tawārikh Al-Kubrá, ‘The Larger Chronicle’, whereas in Or.6345 on p.161 a Tawārikh Al-Saghrá, ‘The Smaller Chronicle’ is also mentioned. We also only have internal evidence of the existence of these two texts; so far no manuscript containing a text with this title has been traced.4

Earlier interest in the Mukhtasar Tawārikh Al-Wustā

Although there has been no mention of this specific title in earlier studies, in the last hundred years this work has been used on a few occasions. The first to pay attention to it was A.F. von de Wall, who published a version of this work in 1892. Furnishing it with a very short introduction, he published it in transcription and with Dutch translation under the heading ‘Condensed history of the dynasty and state institutions of Lingga and Riau’ (Wall 1892:298–324). There is no specification of the manuscript he used; he only mentions that it is undated (Wall 1892:303). Neither in Jakarta,5 nor in Leiden have we been able to trace a manuscript that could have been used by him (Juybilk 1899; Van Ronkel 1909, 1921). Notwithstanding, some findings indicate that for some reason or other Von de Wall has rigorously edited his text.6 Firstly, there is no indication whatsoever of a division into fasal, as there are in the other known versions of the work. Secondly, both the beginning (the introduction before the first fasal), and the end of fasal two, as well as also the end (the whole of fasal five) have been omitted. Thirdly, the order of fasal two and three has been reversed. The order of the fourth fasal has also been changed. Fourthly, all references to the Tawārikh Al-Wustā and the Tawārikh Al-Kubrá have been left out.7

1. Thus correctly spelled on p.5. On pp. 1 and 9 spelled as tawārikh.
2. Tawārikh Al-Wustā yang ada mawjúd pada masa ini di dalam tangan Yang Dipertuan Muda Riau.
3. Part of manuscript Or.6345 kept in the Library of Leiden University. The text is found on pp.125–137.
4. It is quite probable that the ‘de groote Maleishe kronijk’ mentioned by Netscher (1870:42; on p.54 followed by the words ‘Sedjariah Malajjed’) refers to the Tawārikh Al-Kubrá; see also Kratz 1973b.
5. The National Library houses the collection of Malay manuscripts collected by his father H.T.F.K.E.W.A.C. von de Wall (1807–1873) who remained in Riau from 1855 until his death. Most of the manuscripts collected by him are from the Riau region (cf. Van Ronkel 1909).
6. These things cannot be proved; of course, it is also possible that the manuscript used by Von de Wall did contain the idiosyncrasies described below.
7. Probably these changes were made as a service to the reader, omitting the superfluous and rearranging the paragraphs in a ‘rational’ way. Substitution of uncommon words by more frequent ones also points to a tendency
INTRODUCTION

In his note on Or.6345, which was referred to above, R. Roolvink drew attention to the fact that part of this manuscript (pp.125–137) is taken from a work called Tawārikh al-Wustā. He also made a reference to Or.1999, since this part of Or.6345 contains what he calls ‘the same text’ as Or.1999 (Roolvink 1980:227–228). Indeed the texts are very similar. However, not a single citation from Or.6345 provided by Roolvink exactly matches the text of Or.1999. Furthermore, the text does not contain a colophon, is undated, and the end is clearly confused. In addition we may add that to judge from the physical features of the manuscript and the dates mentioned, it is likely that the main body of Or.6345 (pp.1–186) was copied and/or written between 1864 and 1890. The subsequent texts were later additions. So, the text of the Mukhtasar Tawārikh al-Wustā as contained in Or.6345 is at least 10 years younger than Or.1999.

Since Von de Wall’s publication it was to be almost one century before another version of the Mukhtasar Tawārikh al-Wustā appeared in print. This time the text was more or less ‘hidden’ in a book which contained a transcription of a large manuscript which deals with the history of Johor and Riau (A. Samad Ahmad 1985). This manuscript (Bil. Mss 48) was obtained in 1963 by the Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka in Kuala Lumpur and has the title Thamarratul Matlab Fi Anuari Quilub. The text, which is similar to the one edited here, is found on pp.182–212 of the manuscript, and on pp.26–38 of the book under the heading Hubungan raja-raja Melayu-Bugis. The text is very close to Or.1999, but shows quite a number of variant readings. In contrast to the manuscript used by Von de Wall and the text in Or.6345, this manuscript is dated. The colophon (on p.38) states that the text was copied in Riau, on the island of Penyengat, on 1 Ramadan, 1280. This date corresponds to 9 February, 1864, which makes this version 10 years younger than the text of Or.1999.

Earlier interest in Or.1999

After the manuscript was catalogued by Juynboll (1899:250–251), it was more than 70 years before it was referred to for the first time. In her article on the structure and sources of the Tuhfat al-Nafis, Virginia Matheson identified Or.1999 as representing a text which can be classified as an ‘unacknowledged’ source for the Tuhfat al-Nafis (Matheson 1971:384,392). The historical study on the kingdom of Johor by Leonard Andaya (1975) contains a few references to the romanized part of Or.1999. Andaya describes it as a manuscript ‘on adat of Malay rulers’, and has utilized it not as a historical source, but as a source on specific customs in the area (Andaya 1975:102,195,340). As mentioned above, Roolvink referred to Or.1999 as containing ‘the same text’ as Or.6345, pp.125–137 (Roolvink 1980:227–228). Finally, Matheson & Watson Andaya referred to Or.1999 in relation to a

towards simplification, e.g. where the other texts have mawjūd ‘available’ and ba‘a ‘agreement’, Von de Wall’s text reads ada ‘to be’ and moewafakat ‘agreement’ respectively.
8. Or.6345 is a manuscript of 213 pages in the Library of Leiden University dealing with the history of Riau.
10. E.g. in fasal two the fourth item is omitted. Furthermore, on p.36 there is a section consisting of seven items called Nama-nama gelaran Dato’-dato’ Pulau Tujuk, which does not occur in Or.1999.

Other manuscripts of the Mukhtasar Tawārikh al-Wustā

Earlier we have said, as far as we know texts of the *Mukhtasar Tawārikh al-Wustā* are contained within two other manuscripts as part of a larger work. These manuscripts are Or.6345 in the Library of Leiden University and Bil. Mss 48 in the Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka in Kuala Lumpur (Roelvink 1980; Beadrow 1986:120–121; A. Samad Ahmad 1985). Apart from Or.1999 no other manuscripts which exclusively only contain a version of the *Mukhtasar Tawārikh al-Wustā* are known.

Contents of Or.1999

The text of the headings is taken from the ‘table of contents’ on the first page.


p.9: Fasal yang kedua pada menyatakan adat-istiadat raja Melayu dan istilahnya yang menyalahi setengah adat raja2 yang di atas angin adanya.

p.15: Fasal yang ketiga pada menyatakan segala tokong pulo2 siapa yang punya milik, dengan jalan apa dimiliki oleh raja itu dan masa mana dan bagaimana maka menjadi milik kepada raja itu.

p.21: Fasal yang keempat pada menyatakan bahasa raja2 yang diperbahasakan dan nobat dan semberab jawatan adanya.

p.23: Fasal yang kelima pada menyatakan makna wakil yang tersebut di dalam kontrak perjanjian dengan Olanda.

Physical description of the manuscript

Manuscript Or. 1999 is written on factory produced paper. It now has a slightly brownish tinge and is not altogether opaque. The paper may be of English origin. There is no watermark. It measures 34 x 23 cm. It contains 28 pages. A vertical fold is visible in the leaves. This fold could be explained by assuming that the paper originated from a chancery or office where it would have been used for notarial documents or official letters, which were often folded vertically. The presence of the royal seal at the end of the text (p. 24) is another argument for a chancery as provenance of the manuscript. A slightly different explanation of the vertical fold could be that the manuscript had remained unbound in Indonesia, and that is was only vertically folded as a letter (or as an enclosure in a letter) when it was despatched to Holland.

The composition of the quires is as follows: first there is a quire of 4 sheets (end is p. 14, since the first two pages are unnumbered); then follow 4 leaves which have been pasted to the first quire (end is p. 22); and then follows a quire of one sheet (end is p. 26). Altogether there are 13 openings with Arabic and Latin script on opposite pages.
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The manuscript was apparently made in two stages. First the text was written in Arabic script, on each right (= verso) page. The left (= recto) pages remained blank for the Latin transliteration. The text in Arabic script is written on an area of 24.5 x 12.5 cm. It is written in black ink, with the occasional use of red ink for rubrication, most notably for the section (fasal) headings. It is written in 21 lines per page, both on blind ruling and on pencilled lines. The left margins in the Latin part are indicated by blind ruling.

The text on the left pages is a Latin transliteration of the Arabic text. The romanized text follows the Arabic text almost precisely per page. The Latin text is written on an area of 25 x 20 cm in dark brown ink.

Both Arabic and Latin texts have a system of catchwords at the bottom of the page. Both scripts are expertly written. A pagination has been supplied by the copyist of the Latin part.

The colophon (p. 24) mentions the date and place of completion: Disalin (in big letter, vertically written in the centre) termaktub di dalam negeri Riau pulau Penyengat kepada 19 hari bulan Sya'bân yaun al-arba'a jam 9 sanat 1270. The date corresponds to Wednesday, 17 May, 1854.

On p. [−1] and p. 8 are corrections in the Arabic text that have been transcribed in the Latin part in their corrected form only. On p. [−1], lines 15 and 18, the two years, 1129 and 1133 were originally written as 1229 and 1233. Then a correction was executed by erasure so that the Arabic number 2 became a 1. No such correction has been executed in the Latin part. On p. 18, line 11, a corrective line has been drawn through the word sekarang. In the transliteration (p 19, line 15) the word sekarang never occurs.

These two instances lead one to assume that at least some interval for correction and collation had elapsed between the completion of the Arabic text and the beginning of the transliteration in Latin script. How long this interval was cannot be ascertained. The organization of the manuscript, with its Arabic text on the right hand pages only, stipulates the intention of making of a Latin transliteration on the opposite page from the very beginning of the work.

The binding is evidently of European manufacture, possibly only made after the volume had arrived in Leiden. The carton boards are pasted with paper, the back is strengthened with cloth. The binding has no special characteristics whatsoever.

Provenance of the manuscript

According to p. 43 of the acquisitions register of the Oriental collections (Journaal) in the library of Leiden University, the manuscript is part of a collection (MSS Or. 1953–2055) of Javanese, Malay and other manuscripts which, almost without exception, had been transferred, in or around 1871 from the "Rijks-Instelling tot Opleiding van Indische Ambtenaren" in Leiden to the library of Leiden University. Previously they had been in the possession of Mr. A.D. Cornets de Groot. This institution, the official name of which was 'Rijksinstelling van Onderwijs in Indische Taai-, Land- en Volkenkunde' (State College of Education in [East] Indian Linguistics, Geography and Anthropology), was the
government-financed college for the vocational training of civil servants for the Dutch-Indies government. It existed between 1864 and 1877, in which year it was taken over by the municipality of Leiden and continued to function till 1891 (Fasseur 1989: 191–2; 1993: 211 and following). The presumptive previous owner of the manuscript, the short-lived Adriaan David Cornets de Groot (1804–1829), had been one of the pioneers of Javanese and Malay studies in the Netherlands (Fasseur 1993:58). However, it is a chronological impossibility that manuscript Leiden Or. 1999 ever belonged to Cornets de Groot as it was copied in as late as 1854. How the ‘Rijksinstelling’ had come to be in the possession of these manuscripts and why they were transferred to the library of Leiden University in or around 1871 is not known. Juynboll (1899:vii) surmises yet another previous owner, the Utrecht professor H.C. Millies (1810–1868), from the auction of whose books the Leiden library did indeed buy at least one (Arabic) manuscript (Or. 1959). It seems impossible now to precisely identify the provenance of manuscript Or. 1999. Nor does the manuscript itself contain any specific information in this respect. Apart from the stamp of Raja Ali (see below), no signs of former ownership are found. The only stamps present (on pp. 1–2), [26] and on the back cover) in the manuscript are those of the library of Leiden University, with the text ACAD. LUGD. BAT. BIBL.

The conjecture that there was a Dutch connection in the commissioning or production of the manuscript is clear from the fact that the text is presented in both Arabic script and a Latin transliteration. The manuscript may have been produced in this way for educational purposes. It is not very probable that the manuscript bears any relation to the two obvious sources of Riau manuscripts, those of the above-mentioned H.T.F.K.E.W.A.C. von de Wall and of his fellow lexicographer (and Bible translator) H.C. Klinkert (1829–1913), who spent the years 1864–67 in Riau (Swellengrebel 1974:182–191). The reason is that Or.1999 does not belong to their collection of manuscripts, kept in Jakarta and Leiden respectively.11 A link with a Dutch governmental administrator (bestuursambtenaar) is a better guess; Elisa Netscher himself would then be a strong candidate.

The stamp of Raja Ali

The stamp at the end of the text on p.24 is in red ink and is flower-shaped. In the outer ring of the stamp the text in Roman letters is fairly legible: RADJA ALIE ONDER–KONING van RIOUW. The inner part of the stamp has a text in Arabic letters and is on some places hardly visible. Yet the text can be ascertained as follows: / al-wāḥiq / bi rabb / al-‘arṣ raja muda / ibn al-marrūm yang dipertuan / muda raja ja‘afar / sanat 1261 / . In translation: ‘Radja Ali Vice–Roy of Riau. He who trusts in the Lord of the Throne i.e. God, the Vice–Roy, son of the late Vice–Roy Raja Ja‘afar, the year 1261’.

The year 1261 (A.D. 1845) refers to the year in which Raja Ali was inaugurated. The last lines of the Hikayat Negeri Johor, as contained in manuscript KL24 (pp.1–40) of the Library of Leiden University,

11. The Klinkert collection is deposited in the library of Leiden University as a permanent loan from the Dutch Bible Society in Haarlem.

x
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states that the seal of the vice-roy was given to him on 15 Rajah, 1261 (20 July, 1845). In that case the stamp in Or.1999 was put there at least 2 months after the copyist had finished his work. Yang Dipertuan Muda Raja Ali died on 25 or 28 June, 1857 (Matheson & Watson Andaya 1982:288–289,405).
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