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Ordered Disorder: Vestiges of Mixed Written and Oral Transmission of Arabic Didactic Poems *

Tilman Seidensticker | Jena

In the field of pre-eminently Arabic literature, didactic poems form a small genre of their own. They were not considered as poetry proper, because their aesthetic embellishment of language was not sufficiently substantial and their content failed to meet what the public expected of real poetry. Instead, they belong to many different branches of knowledge, and their common characteristics are mostly formal: they are rhymed, composed in metric language and their length does usually not exceed 150 lines (although there are some exceptions to this rule). First examples were composed as early as in the 9th century AD, and the genre was continued right into the 20th century. Geert Jan van Gelder has proposed the following definition: "any text that is poetry in terms of its prosody (i.e. metre and rhyme) in which the typical poetical style (ropes, figures of speech, etc.) is deliberately avoided, for the sake of providing explicit information on a particular branch of knowledge" (Geert Jan van Gelder, 'Arabic didactic verse', in Jan Willem Drijvers, and Alasdair A. MacDonald (eds), Centres of learning. Learning and location in pre-modern Europe and the Near East, Leiden, 1995, 103-17, here p. 117). As far as the topics dealt with, there were no limitations: ‘Almost any subject could be, and was, versified: dogmatics, the law of inheritance, medicine, astronomy, history, rhetoric, prosody, calligraphy, the explication of dreams, algebra, bloodletting, logic, navigation, agriculture, sexual intercourse, alchemy, jurisprudence, Koranic sciences, the use of toothpicks – the list might easily be extended.’ (van Gelder, p. 106)

In the early 19th century, Arabists in Europe showed some interest in these poems, as they provided them with a basic knowledge of different topics of Muslim scholarship. Later, they directed their attention towards more voluminous works which gave them deeper insights into theological and legal discussions, amongst others, and the small didactic poems were almost forgotten. Nevertheless, the genre can provide some important insights into Muslim ‘secondary’ education in the madrasas or other, more informal contexts of transmission of knowledge. Furthermore, the poems were not only memorized but also written down in countless manuscripts. A closer look at these copies shows that there are hardly any two of them that display the same wording or order of lines. However, a tendency to check this process of dissolution is recognizable in the manuscripts.

The copies of al-Uhl’s ‘creed’ Bad’ al-amāli ’
Alī ibn Uthmān al-Uhl is a scholar from the Fāṭiḥa valley in Central Asia who lived in the 12th century AD. According to a later bibliographical work, he is believed to have died in 1179, though this may just be an estimate. Although he wrote some longer books, he is best known for a poem containing a creed in the Hanafi tradition, close to one of the two schools of Sunnī theology, namely the Māţāridiyah. The title of the poem is Bad’ al-amāli’, being identical with the last two words of the first half-verse. Another widely used title, Qaṣīdat Yaqqūth l-‘abd, is in a similar way derived from the first two words of the same hemistich. An edition of this short poem together with a Latin and a German translation was published in Königsberg in 1825 by Peter Bohlen. Copies of this poem can be found in almost any library with more than a rudimentary stock of Arabic manuscripts. For example, we found eleven of them in the Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin, Preußischer Kulturbesitz, and thirteen in the Garrett Collection, Yadda Section, Princeton University Library. A look at the verse order in the eleven Berlin manuscripts, in six out of the Princeton manuscripts and in one more from the Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätssbibliothek Göttingen revealed considerable deviations, as expected. The number of verses ranges between 62 and 73, and there are no two copies displaying the same order. We do not have a copy from al-Uhl’s hands nor one that claims to stem directly from such an autograph. This means that we have no idea
Table 1: Variance in 11 manuscript copies of al-Dinari’s Bayt al-anmil. From the Staatliche Bibliothek zu Berlin, Peabody Collection (Ber), and the Garrett Collection, Yavneh Section, Princeton University Library (Ph).

**Abbreviations:**
- A: Addition of a verse in this block
- O: Omission of a verse in this block
- T: Transposition of a verse from this block to one below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ph 5043</th>
<th>Ber 4944</th>
<th>Ber 4955</th>
<th>Ph 225/4/11</th>
<th>Ber 4956</th>
<th>Ber 4957</th>
<th>Ber 240/4</th>
<th>Ber 227/2</th>
<th>Ph 227/2/14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–8</td>
<td>A/0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–32</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33–40</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–48</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49–56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57–64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

about the original sequence of verses, and it is not our aim to reconstruct it. Our primary interest is relative deviation, not proximity to a hypothetical archetype.

To describe deviation, however, one first has to define some order as the point of departure, and therefore we looked for majorities for every verse x to be followed by verse x+1. In this way, we succeeded in finding a sequence of 64 verses where for each of such pairs (verse no. 1 and 2, no. 2 and 3, no. 3 and 4 etc.) there is a majority in the copies at our disposal. This task was easier than we had anticipated. The number of instances where more than just one manuscript has an alternative sequence is three. In two cases, two manuscripts agree in such a way. In the third case, there is agreement on a different sequence in no less than six manuscripts, but nevertheless these six have a clear minority status as against the remaining twelve manuscripts. From now on, this ‘majority sequence’ of 64 verses will be called the standard order. Again, it is conceivable that none of our 18 manuscripts displays this order without any deviation, but one actually does so. The other ones show a wide spectre of deviation. Counting every addition, omission and transposition of one verse as a single instance of deviation, it emerged that the number of deviations ranged from 1 to 28. The aim of this paper is to describe two characteristics of our deviation statistics.

All beginnings are easy!
To begin with, there is a group of copies displaying only minor deviation from our standard order. The term ‘minor’ was, somewhat arbitrarily, defined as encompassing zero to a maximum of five deviations. In actual fact, the reasoning behind our definition of ‘minor’ was influenced by the fact that this group constitutes a majority of 11 out of a total of 18 manuscripts. The striking feature of this group is that all but two do not show any deviations prior to verse 28, and that of the 32 deviations only four occur prior to verse 39 (within a poem of just 64 lines in length).

From this point on, the omissions, additions and transpositions are dispersed almost evenly across the remaining verses. In other words, deviations in the first half of the poem are conspicuously rare. This result is visualized in Table 1 above. How can this phenomenon be explained? It is still unknown whether the copies of the didactic poems were normally written down from memory or copied from other manuscripts. (Neither do we know which role dictation played.) Our family of eleven manuscripts may allow a tentative answer. Notwithstanding its family likeness, they seem to have been written down for dictation from memory, and memory seems to have worked better for the first half of the poem than for the rest of it.

Copies made from disordered sheets?
Secondly, there are two copies displaying a peculiar type of transposition of verses, as compared to the standard order. Longer blocks of verses are affected here, not only one, two or three lines as in the cases mentioned before. The first of these manuscripts has the following order: 1–21 / 31–39 / 50–57 / 22–30 / 40–49. (The figures are simplified, as there is some disorder within the blocks, and the copy contains seven additional verses.) Another manuscript inserts verses 32–40 after verse 22. A transposition of individual lines may be explained from the inaccuracies of human memory, but I doubt this explanation holds for jumping forward and backward in such a way. Two explanations come to mind. Either the poems were (sometimes) memorized in blocks, with only the sequence of these blocks being subject to erroneous transposition. Or, perhaps more likely, the copies were made from loose sheets whose order was not fixed by foliation or catchwords.

Outlook and two illustrations
Both phenomena cannot so far be explained with certainty, but further examination of copies of other didactic poems will, we hope, provide evidence for clarification. If our readers should happen to come across similar cases of variance in verse order, we would be very grateful for any information. What seems clear is that our sub-project is dealing with written vestiges of a complicated interaction of oral and written transmission of knowledge.

In order to convey a visual impression of how these poems were written down and what attempts were made to check verse order, I have added two pictures. The first one (Fig. 1) displays a very strange order: verse 2 standard order is written above the common formula that always precedes a text written by a Muslim. A stroke connects this addition (obviously written by the scribe who also wrote the rest of the poem, as the identity of the script shows) to the end of the first verse standard order in line 3. While this correction...
Scribal Notation in Medieval Chinese Manuscripts: The hewen (Ligature) and the chongwen (Duplication) Marks

Imre Galambos | London

Early Chinese manuscripts and inscriptions often make use of two devices referred to by modern researchers as hewen (ligature) and chongwen (duplication). Both of them are signified with the same mark, comprising two small dashes which are placed below the lower right corner of the character. The mark resembles the character 幽 (written in a small script, similar to what we would today call a subscript). Since the notation is identical in both cases, it is the context that determines whether it marks a joint character or a repetition.

The first examples of this notation date back to the oracle-bone records but their heyday was during the centuries bc 8th-3rd. While their use in inscriptive material up to the Han is relatively well-studied, there is almost no treatment of it with regard to paper manuscripts, especially ones from the post-Han period. In this article, I would like to use the Chinese manuscripts from Dunhuang and Turfan to demonstrate the application of this notation during the medieval period. This has added relevance because, although the continuity of orthography and its transitions from early China to the medieval period has been fairly well researched, the secondary or peripheral aspects of writing, such as the marking of repetitions or the notation used in editing and correcting mistakes, have received little attention.

Hewen (Ligature)

Hewen is what modern researchers call a scribal device used on early manuscripts where two or more adjoining characters are united into a single composite graph. A parallel phenomenon in Western manuscript studies is the ligature, which is when two consecutive letters are combined in such a manner

1 Whether this notation is actually related to the character 幽 is open to debate. Since such a connection is yet to be proven, I am, at this point, hesitant to make a definite identification of this mark with any particular character in the Chinese script.

2 For examples of hewen on oracle-bone inscriptions, see Qin 1992a and 1992b. On the same phenomenon on Warring States seals, see Wu 1989; on bronze inscriptions, see Shao 2002.

3 The joint graph appears in the text as a single entity and is ‘unpacked’ into its original components by the reader, who reads and pronounces it as a multisyllabic string. Strictly speaking, hewen is a graphical device without any direct indication of phonetic changes; it is read as the combination of its original component graphs, and is pronounced as if these were written out in full. Of course, it is also possible that, at least in some cases, the hewen also represented a phonetic abbreviation but we do not currently have any evidence for this.

4 Hewen was relatively common in pre-Qin times but almost completely disappeared in later periods. It used to be marked with two short parallel strokes added below the lower right corner of the graph. Generally speaking, this device was used for characters that commonly occurred together, even if the words they represented did not form a grammatical unit. For example, the characters 之所 appear in the Hanshu covenant texts (ca. 490 bc) as 之, while the characters 之日 in the Baoshan bamboo strips (ca. 320 bc) as 之. In the Kongzi shilan 孔子世家 manuscript (ca. 300 bc) in the Shanghai Museum collection, the characters 之上 are written together sharing their horizontal stroke as a single constellation of 上.

In each of these cases, the reader is alerted with the hewen mark at the lower right corner of the graph. Technically speaking, writing the two characters this way was not an abbreviation, since even if the scribe economized one stroke in the characters themselves, he still had to write two more to indicate the omission. Instead, in continuous text, it was perhaps more of an indication that these characters appeared together frequently, even if the words they stood for did not.
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